Targeted consultation: Study to support the Fitness Check of EU consumer law on digital fairness and the report on the application of the Modernisation Directive (EU) 2019/2161

This targeted survey is part of the stakeholder consultation for the **Study to support the Fitness Check of EU consumer law on digital fairness and the report on the application of the Modernisation Directive (EU) 2019/2161**. The study is being conducted for the European Commission's Directorate General for Justice & Consumers (DG JUST) by a consortium comprising CSES Europe, VVA Brussels, Tetra Tech, LE Europe and WIK Consult.

This study will assess the fitness for purpose of three key EU consumer Directives in ensuring digital fairness and high levels of consumer protection:

- Unfair Commercial Practices Directive 2005/29/EC (UCPD)
- Consumer Rights Directive 2011/83/EU (CRD)
- Unfair Contract Terms Directive 93/13/EEC (UCTD)

In addition to performing a Fitness Check of EU legislation in the digital environment, a further objective of the study is to gather information and feedback to inform the Commission's future application report on the <u>Modernisation Directive (EU) 2019/2161</u>, which amended the above-mentioned directives in particular in relation to the digital environment.

Targeted survey aims: This targeted survey complements the public consultation (which closed on 20th February 2023) by gathering more technical feedback on issues addressed in the Fitness Check and the Modernisation Directive, including:

- Feedback on the effectiveness, efficiency, relevance, coherence and EU added value of the consumer law legislation within scope.
- Costs and benefits of: i) applying the laws for traders and consumers; and ii) implementing, monitoring and enforcing the laws for national authorities.
- Fitness for purpose of EU consumer law in light of interactions with the broader regulatory framework in areas such as digital markets and services, data protection: i.e. recent (proposed) laws to accommodate digitalisation (e.g. the Digital Services Act (DSA), Digital Markets Act (DMA), Artificial Intelligence Act (AIA), Data Act, GDPR).
- Considerations around the technology-neutral, general principles based approach and whether there are any specific digital areas that need a more specific legislative approach or whether guidance and case law are sufficient in ensuring fitness for purpose in the digital era.

Please note that there are many open response fields that allow you to share more targeted feedback.

Target audience: The consultation is aimed at informed stakeholders that have familiarity with, and knowledge of, EU consumer legislation and broader EU legislation where relevant, as well as the digital fairness issues within scope. This will include: consumer associations and NGOs; business associations; national competent authorities; European Consumer Centres (ECCs).

Survey timeframe: The consultation will be kept open for 12 weeks, from 27 June 2023 to 25th September 2023.

Should you wish to consult with colleagues or your members in advance of completing the online survey, please click here to access a **here** version of the questionnaire.

Data protection and privacy: All data will be collected, processed, and retained for the study's duration in accordance with the rules pertaining to the collection and processing of personal data by the European Commission. All survey data will be analysed anonymously and kept confidential. Data will be reported only in aggregate format and will not mention any specific respondent names. The data will be processed in accordance with the GDPR and with Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the EU institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data.

Should you have any queries regarding data protection and privacy matters, please contact CSES' data controller, Jan Smit (jsmit@cses.co.uk) who is the overall nominated data processor for this targeted consultation under the coordination of the European Commission in their capacity as the data controller. The full privacy statement can be accessed by clicking the PDF link here.

Queries about the consultation: Should you have any questions regarding the targeted consultation or the evaluation study, please contact the study team leader: Mark Whittle (<u>enquiries@cses.eu</u>).

Thank you for your valuable input.

Page 1

Page 3

¼ Show page if

Section 1: Respondent profile information

* 1.	What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option)	
١.		
	Business association	 Consumer association / non-governmental organisation (NGO)
	 Trader (e.g. e-commerce firm, firm selling both online / offline, online marketplaces/platforms) 	O National Ministry
	O National enforcement authority	Curopean Consumer Centre (ECC)
	O Academic / researcher in the field	Other, please specify
	litional options (question 1) Order responses: alphabetically	
Pag	ge 2	
	Show page if	
	1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option)	
	is Business association	
	or	
	 What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option) is Consumer association / non-governmental organ 	nisation (NGO)
	or	nisalion (NGO)
	1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option)	
	is Trader (e.g. e-commerce firm, firm selling both o	nline / offline, online marketplaces/platforms)
	or	
	What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option) in National Ministry.	
	is National Ministry or	
	What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option) is National enforcement authority	
	or	
	What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option) is European Consumer Centre (ECC)	
2.	Please specify the name of your organisation:	

1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option)

Show page if

1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option)

is Trader (e.g. e-commerce firm, firm selling both online / offline, online marketplaces/platforms)

	1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option) is Business association
* 6.	Please specify the levels at which your organisation operates:
	Please select all that apply
	☐ At the international level ☐ At the EU level (including trading intra-EU)
	At the international level (EU-27) – indicate country:
	At the national level (E0-21) – indicate country.
	litional options (question 6) Order responses: alphabetically
Pag	ge 6
	Show page if 1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option)
	is Business association
	or 1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option)
	is Trader (e.g. e-commerce firm, firm selling both online / offline, online marketplaces/platforms)
* 7.	Does your enterprise (or for associations, enterprises belonging to your association) trade on a cross-border basis? <i>Please select one option</i> Trade on a cross-border basis within the EU Trade on a cross-border basis internationally only Trade on a cross-border basis internationally only Don't know
	litional options (question 7) Order responses: alphabetically
Pag	ge 7
'	Show page if 1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option)
	is Business association
	or 1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option)
	is Consumer association / non-governmental organisation (NGO)
8.	Please specify the fields / economic sectors of activity on which your organisation focuses:

is Consumer association / non-governmental organisation (NGO)

Page 8

* 9. Can the feedback in your responses be analysed in a way that identifies your organisation or would you prefer this is analysed confidentially – aggregated with other feedback of the relevant type of stakeholder, without being attributed to your organisation?

Please note that, given sensitivities, all costs data will be kept confidential and anonymised.

Our feedback can be analysed in a way that attributes	Our feedback should be analysed anonymously at the
it to our organisation	stakeholder category level

Additional options (question 9)

• Order responses: alphabetically

Page 9

Section 2: Review of digital fairness in EU consumer law and the application of the Modernisation Directive

Please note: References to the "EU consumer law Directives" in this survey relate to the three Directives within the Fitness Check's scope: (1) the Unfair Commercial Practices Directive 2005/29/EC (UCPD) (2) the Unfair Contract Terms Directive 93/13/EEC (UCTD) and (3) the Consumer Rights Directive 2011/83/EU (CRD). These were recently amended through the Modernisation Directive (EU) 2019/2161.

Section 2.1 - Effectiveness

Effectiveness considers the extent of progress towards achieving the objectives of the EU's consumer legislation, and whether the overall regulatory framework is delivering for consumers and traders.

2.1.1 General questions

* 10. To what extent have the EU consumer law Directives contributed towards achieving the following objectives?

	To a great extent	To a moderate extent	To a small extent	Not at all	Don't know
Improved functioning of the EU digital single market.					
Facilitating e-commerce through uniform rules on information requirements in distance contracts.					
Facilitating e-commerce through uniform rules on unfair commercial practices.					
Facilitating e-commerce through uniform rules on the right to cancel online purchases within 14 days.					
Striking the right balance between ensuring high levels of consumer protection and facilitating e-commerce.					
Strengthening consumer protection and trust in purchasing online.					
Ensuring that consumers are well-informed before they make online purchases.					
Ensuring the transparency and fairness of subscription contracts for digital content and services (including their cancellation).					
Preventing deceptive practices (dark patterns) in website/app design.					
Preventing misleading or aggressive marketing online.					
Preventing the unfair online targeting of consumer vulnerabilities for commercial purposes.					

Add	Regulatory certainty for <u>businesses</u> when trading online in their Member State Regulatory certainty for <u>businesses</u> when trading online cross-border/in another Member State Regulatory certainty for <u>consumers</u> when purchasing goods, digital content or services online in their Member State Regulatory certainty for <u>consumers</u> when purchasing goods, digital content or services online cross-border/in another Member State Regulatory certainty for <u>consumers</u> when purchasing goods, digital content or services online cross-border/in another Member State itional options (question 12) Order responses: alphabetically	extent	To a moderate extent	To a small extent	Not at all	Don't know
	Regulatory certainty for <u>businesses</u> when trading online in their Member State Regulatory certainty for <u>businesses</u> when trading online cross-border/in another Member State Regulatory certainty for <u>consumers</u> when purchasing goods, digital content or services online in their Member State Regulatory certainty for <u>consumers</u> when purchasing goods, digital content or services online cross-border/in another Member State	great extent	To a moderate extent	To a small extent	Not at all	know
	Regulatory certainty for <u>businesses</u> when trading online in their Member State Regulatory certainty for <u>businesses</u> when trading online cross-border/in another Member State Regulatory certainty for <u>consumers</u> when purchasing goods, digital content	great extent	To a moderate extent	To a small extent	Not at all	know
	Regulatory certainty for <u>businesses</u> when trading online in their Member State Regulatory certainty for <u>businesses</u> when trading online cross-border/in	great extent	To a moderate extent	To a small extent	Not at all	know
	Regulatory certainty for <u>businesses</u> when trading online in their Member	great extent	To a moderate extent	To a small extent	Not at all	know
	environment?	great	To a moderate	To a small	Not at	
	environment?		<u>y certainty</u>	in the di	gital	
	Order responses: alphabetically Overall, to what extent have the EU consumer law Directives provided responses.	egulator				
Add	General Data Protection Regulation, propositional options (question 11)	osals for	a Artificial Ir	ntelligeno	e Act, Da	ta Act):
	Modernisation of the amendments to EU cons Modernisation Directive: Application of new legislation in the digital Markets Act,					ital
	Development of interpretative guidance (e UCTD): Application of the amendments to EU cons					CRD,
	Development of relevant case law of the C	Court of J	ustice of the	Europea	an Union:	
11.	Do you have any comments on the impact of the following development law framework in the digital environment?	s on the	application	n of the l	EU consı	ımer
	itional options (question 10) Order responses: alphabetically					
	Providing clear rules on the burden of proof / provision of evidence regarding the fairness of commercial practices.					
	Ensuring transparency and fairness in the marketing of virtual items (including loot boxes) and virtual intermediate currencies.					
	Ensuring the transparency and fairness of personalisation practices (e.g. personalised advertising, pricing, offers, ranking, recommendations).					
	Protecting consumer rights when using 'free' services (involving commercial use of the consumers' personal data).					

Online sale of physical products and services

know

all

extent

extent

extent

	Online sale of digital content and services			
	Provision of "free" digital services (in exchange for consumers' data)			
	Online advertising (including influencer marketing and personalised advertising)			
	Use of AI systems in the context of B2C commercial practices (including AI chatbots)			
	Personalised pricing			
	Other personalisation practices (ranking, offers, recommendations etc.)			
	Fairness requirements concerning the design of online interfaces (websites, apps)			
	Virtual items (including loot boxes) and virtual intermediate currencies in digital services, such as video games			
	Standard contract terms			
	Subscription contracts for digital content and services			
	Rules on burden of proof in disputes/enforcement of fairness requirements			
	Use of dropshipping (i.e. shop does not hold those products in stock)			
	Use of scalping (i.e. purchasing of products in high demand using automated tools with a view to resell them at higher price)			
	tional options (question 13)			
• (Do you perceive that there are any outstanding legal gaps? Yes Don't know	O No		
* 14.	Do you perceive that there are any outstanding legal gaps? Yes	O No		
* 14.	Do you perceive that there are any outstanding legal gaps? Yes Don't know tional options (question 14) brider responses: alphabetically	O No		
* 14.	Do you perceive that there are any outstanding legal gaps? Yes Don't know tional options (question 14) brider responses: alphabetically	O No		
* 14. Addir	Do you perceive that there are any outstanding legal gaps? Yes Don't know tional options (question 14) brider responses: alphabetically Show page if 14. Do you perceive that there are any outstanding legal gaps?	O No		
* 14. Addir	Do you perceive that there are any outstanding legal gaps? Yes Don't know tional options (question 14) brider responses: alphabetically a 10 Show page if 14. Do you perceive that there are any outstanding legal gaps? is Yes	O No		

Page 11

2.1.2 Questions about problematic practices

Whilst recognising that many traders spend resources ensuring that they invest in compliance with EU consumer law, some studies undertaken for the European Commission and wider research point to a range of practices by traders considered to be

potentially problematic. This section seeks feedback on the extent to which such practices are prevalent, how far stakeholders agree there is a problem, and considers possible solutions. Some practices are already prohibited and/or addressed in EU law, raising questions around compliance levels and enforcement, whereas others may be pointing at regulatory gaps or uncertainty.

* 16. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the following practices are problematic?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
Presence of deceptive practices (dark patterns) in website/app design.	0				
Absence of transparency concerning paid promotions in social media.					
Problems concerning personalised advertising / commercial communications.					
Problems concerning personalised pricing.					
Problems concerning other personalisation practices (ranking, offers, recommendation etc.)					
Problems concerning the addictive use of digital products and services (e.g. social media, video games).					
Problems concerning the cancellation of subscriptions.					
Problems with price hikes in subscriptions, following initial promotional deals.					
Problems due to automatic conversion of free trials into paid subscriptions contracts.					
Problems due to lack of transparency about the actual value of virtual items offered in exchange for virtual intermediate currencies (in digital services such as video games).					
Use of loot boxes and addiction-inducing design features (in digital services such as video games).					
Scalping of products using automated software (except event tickets).					
Use of consumers' data that exploits specific vulnerabilities for commercial purposes (e.g. data indicating a gambling addiction).					
Use of AI systems that deploy subliminal techniques beyond a person's consciousness for commercial purposes.					
Absence of a clear and intelligible presentation of contractual information.					
Problems in communicating with traders due to the use of Al chatbots.					
Absence of transparency concerning the "dropshipping" business model (i.e. the fact that the shop does not hold those products in stock).					

• Order responses: alphabetically

* 17. In the past five years, how far have the following potentially problematic <u>B2C digital practices increased or decreased</u> in frequency?

	Significant increase	No change	Significant decrease	Don't know
Presence of deceptive practices (dark patterns) in website/app design.				
Absence of transparency concerning paid promotions in				

Problems concerning personalised pricing.			
Problems concerning other personalisation practices (ranking, offers, recommendation etc.)			
Problems concerning the addictive use of digital products and services (e.g. social media, video games).			
Problems concerning the cancellation of subscriptions.			
Problems with price hikes in subscriptions, following initial promotional deals.			
Problems due to automatic conversion of free trials into paid subscriptions contracts.			
Problems due to lack of transparency about the actual value of virtual items offered in exchange for virtual intermediate currencies (in digital services such as video games).			
Use of loot boxes and addiction-inducing design features (in digital services such as video games).			
Scalping of products using automated software (except event tickets).			
Use of consumers' data that exploits specific vulnerabilities for commercial purposes (e.g. data indicating a gambling addiction).			
Use of AI systems that deploy subliminal techniques beyond a person's consciousness for commercial purposes.			
Absence of a clear and intelligible presentation of contractual nformation.			
Problems in communicating with traders due to the use of Alchatbots.			
Absence of transparency concerning the "dropshipping" pusiness model (i.e. the fact that the shop does not hold chose products in stock).			
Other, please specify:			
onal options (question 17) er responses: alphabetically			

Page 12

18.

* 19. To what extent have the three core EU consumer law Directives been effective in tackling perceived problematic digital B2C practices?

	effective	effective	effective	effective at all	know
Presence of deceptive practices (dark patterns) in website/app design.	0	0	0	0	0
Absence of transparency concerning paid promotions in social media.					
Problems concerning personalised advertising / commercial communications.					
Problems concerning personalised pricing.					
Problems concerning other personalisation practices (ranking, offers, recommendation etc.)					
Problems concerning the addictive use of digital products and services (e.g. social media, video games).					
Problems concerning the cancellation of subscriptions.					
Problems due to price hikes in subscriptions, following initial promotion deals.					
Problems due to Automatic conversion of free trials into paid subscriptions contracts.					
Problems due to lack of transparency about the actual value of virtual items offered in exchange for virtual intermediate currencies (in digital services such as video games).					
Use of loot boxes and addiction-inducing design features (in digital services such as video games).					
Scalping of products using automated software (except event tickets).					
Use of consumers' data that exploits specific vulnerabilities for commercial purposes (e.g. data indicating a gambling addiction).					
Use of AI systems that deploy subliminal techniques beyond a person's consciousness for commercial purposes.					
Absence of a clear and intelligible presentation of contractual information.					
Problems in communicating with traders due to the use of Al chatbots.					
Absence of transparency concerning the "dropshipping" business model (i.e. the fact that the shop does not hold those products in stock).					
Other, please specify:					
onal options (question 19) der responses: alphabetically					

20. If you consider certain problematic practices to be already covered by EU consumer law, do you have any additional comments on the application of the laws in practice (e.g. are there specific regulatory gaps, a need for more regulatory clarity, guidance and/or more effective enforcement).

Page 13

2.1.3 The Modernisation Directive and its likely impacts on effectiveness and relevance of EU consumer law.

The Modernisation Directive (EU) 2019/2161 had to be applied from May 28th 2022, although several Member States were late in their transposition. It amends EU consumer law with additional rules regarding the digital environment, among other areas, and strengthens the overall enforcement of the existing rules through stronger requirements regarding penalties in case of infringements and providing rights for individual remedies for consumers harmed by unfair commercial practices.

21. To what extent, in your opinion, has the Modernisation Directive strengthened consumer protection in the following areas it covers?

	To a great extent	To a moderate extent	To a small extent	Not at all	Don't know
More transparency in online search results (disclosure of ranking criteria and paid placements) when consumers search for products offered by different traders.	0	0	0	0	0
More transparency about whether the third party offering products through online marketplaces is a trader or consumer.					
More transparency regarding the processing and verification of consumer reviews that traders collect and make available.					
Better access to event tickets as a result of the prohibition of scalping by automated software.					
More transparency concerning price reduction announcements.					
Better consumer information about "free" digital content and services (provided in exchange for commercial use of personal data).					
More transparency when the price is personalised as a result of automated decision-making.					
Easier communication with the trader through the e-mail address and telephone number.					
More deterrence against infringements through stronger penalties.					
Preventing the misleading presentation of goods (especially food) as being identical to those marketed in other EU countries when there are significant differences ('dual quality' of goods).	t O				
More deterrence against infringements through better redress for victims of unfair commercial practices.					

Additional	options ((question 21)	
------------	-----------	---------------	--

22. Do you consider that consumers suffer detriment due to unfair commercial practices (i.e. pressure selling, misleading information) in the context of the following selling techniques taking place outside the seller's regular business premises:

	This selling method is not used in the EU country where our organisation is established/active	•	To a moderate extent	To a small extent	Not at	Don't know
Doorstep selling (sellers' visits to consumer's home).						
Commercial excursions (leisure activities organised by a seller involving sale of products).						
Organised selling events at places like private homes, hotels, restaurants to which consumers are invited.						

[·] Order responses: alphabetically

•	misleading information) in the business premises: is To a great exten or 22. Do you consider that cons	umers suffer detriment due to u context of the following selling t	echniques takin nfair commercie	g place outs	ide the seller's i i.e. pressure sel	regular Iling,
23.	What measures are needed to protect	consumers better in such cas	Better Better enforcement of of existing rules	Stronger customised national rules	Stronger EU- wide rules (prohibitions)	Don'i
	Doorstep selling (seller's visits to consu	mer's home).				
	Commercial excursions (leisure activitie involving sale of products).	s organised by a seller				
	Organised selling events at places like prestaurants to which consumers are invi- Other approaches, please specify:					
Page	Do you consider that consumers suffe					ir
	branding and presentation) as being i differences in composition and characteristics.		er EU countrie	s notwithsi	anding their	
	O To a great extent	○ To a modera	te extent			
	O To a small extent	O Not at all				
	O Don't know					
	tional options (question 24) Order responses: alphabetically					
5. F	Please explain your response to the ab	ove question should you wish	ı to do so:			

Additional options (question 22)

Pa	a	e	1	6

t₄ S	hov	w n	an	if م
4 3	$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{U}$	v D	au	e II

24. Do you consider that consumers suffer detriment due to traders' practices of marketing goods (through their branding and presentation) as being identical to those goods in other EU countries notwithstanding their differences in composition and characteristics ('dual quality')?...

is To a great extent

or

24. Do you consider that consumers suffer detriment due to traders' practices of marketing goods (through their branding and presentation) as being identical to those goods in other EU countries notwithstanding their differences in composition and characteristics ('dual quality')?...

is To a moderate extent

* 26. What is your opinion regarding measures to tackle such as 'dual quality' practices by traders? *Please choose one option*

 The current EU rules based on case-by-case assessment of such marketing practices, taking into account their impact on consumers in individual Member State, are adequate and should be enforced. Stronger legal rules are needed

Do not know/question not relevant

Additional options (question 26)

· Order responses: alphabetically

Page 17

Show page if

26. What is your opinion regarding measures to tackle such as 'dual quality' practices by traders? Please choose one option...

is Stronger legal rules are needed

- * 27. In your opinion, which of the following rules would strengthen the legal framework on 'dual quality' practices? (Please tick all that apply more than one option is possible)
 - ☐ Banning such marketing practices in all circumstances and in all Member States affected, irrespective of their impact on consumers in individual countries.

Restricting/specifying the scope of 'legitimate and objective' factors that could justify such marketing practices

Additional rules about informing consumers when national product versions are differentiated due to 'legitimate and objective' factors. Don't know

Other measures, please specify:

Additional options (question 27)

Order responses: alphabetically

Page 18

28. Do you have any additional feedback regarding the extent to which the Modernisation Directive is likely to strengthen the effectiveness of the three consumer law Directives in terms of: i) ensuring fitness for purpose for the digital age and ii) strengthening enforcement:

Ensuring fitness for purpose of consumer law for the digital age:
Strengthening enforcement through harmonised penalties in certain cases
and rules on consumer remedies:

• (Order responses: alphabetically				
* 29.	Were there any unforeseen or unexpected consequence UCPD, CRD, UCTD and PID? For example, more use by	traders of other	r methods to pi	romote price advar	ntages
	instead of the - now regulated - price reductions (such discounts etc.)	as price compar	risons, loyalty _l	programmes, perso	onalised
	○ Yes			○ No	
	Opon't know			O NO	
A .1.1	Maria de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya de l				
	litional options (question 29) Order responses: alphabetically				
Pag	ge 19				
₹.	ጜ Show page if				
	29. Were there any unforeseen or unexpected of the UCPD, CRD, UCTD and PID? For example, advantages instead of the - now regulated - price personalised discounts etc.) is Yes	more use by trad	ers of other met	thods to promote pri	ce
I	Please identify and explain any unforeseen or unexpected Directive's amendments in the UCPD, CRD, UCTD and Prelate to from among the above-mentioned Directives:				
Dog	70. 20				
_	ge 20 4 Enforcement and regulatory compliance				
۱	+ Emorgement and regulatory compliance				
31.	How effective is the enforcement of EU consumer law	in the digital env	vironment?		
	Please provide your overall perception concerning the Commercial Practices Directive and Unfair Contract Te				Jnfair
			Neutral/Neithe	r	
		Very ective Effective	effective nor	Ver	•
	Public enforcement by administrative authorities.	0 0	0	0 0	
	Private enforcement by qualified entities, such as				

Additional options (question 28)

consumer or business organisations.

traders through court action.

mechanisms.

Resolution of disputes between consumers and

Resolution of disputes between consumers and traders through <u>out-of-court dispute resolution</u>

Development of soft law measures (e.g. guidance; compliance sweeps by the CPC Network; voluntary

	Ensuring consumer redress, such as compensation, price reduction, ending the contract.						
	itional options (question 31) Order responses: alphabetically						
32.	Please explain your response to the above question:						
Pag	ge 21						
* 33.	To what extent do you agree with the following state	ements concerning	the funct	ioning of th	ne EU co	nsumer	aw
	Directives in the digital environment?						
			To a great	To a moderate	To a small	Not at	Don't
			extent	extent	extent	all	know
	There are divergences in national interpretation of EU across different Member States.	consumer law					
	There are divergences in national interpretation of EU different competent bodies in the same Member St						
	Traders are able to bypass certain obligations in EU cocontractual, technical or behavioural measures.	nsumer law using					
	itional options (question 33) Order responses: alphabetically						
34.	If you responded 'to a great extent' or 'to a moderate specific examples, and mention what impact this ha		plain you	r answer be	elow, pro	ovide any	,
	Examples of diverge (if any interpretation	nce in national appli	ication of E	EU law			
	Impacts of divergence	,	յ. on single	market):			
	itional options (question 34) Order responses: alphabetically						
* 35.	What are your perceptions regarding the <u>level of co</u>	mpliance among tr	aders in r	elation to t	he follov	ving mair	n
	requirements of the EU consumer law Directives?						
			High	Mediu	ım	Low	
				ce complia level		npliance evels	Don't know
	Avoiding misleading or aggressive commercial practice Commercial Practices Directive)		complian	_		-	
		es (Unfair	complian	level		evels	know

Avoiding non-transparent and unfair standard contract terms (Unfair Contract Terms Directive)

pledges).

Directive as amended by the Modernisation Directive).		
Additional options (question 35) Order responses: alphabetically		

Page 22

Section 2.2 - Efficiency - The costs and benefits of EU consumer law

Efficiency considers the relationship between the costs and benefits of EU consumer law in the digital area. The following questions seek feedback on the costs of complying with EU consumer law for traders and the administrative burdens of implementing the legislation for enforcers. Both quantitative estimates of costs and qualitative feedback would be useful.

Guidance for traders (e-commerce enterprises, platforms, digital service providers etc.) and industry associations:

- We first ask about the compliance costs you incur as a trader (or costs of your members if a trader representative
 association) in complying with the three pieces of EU consumer legislation within scope (the UCTD, the UCPD and the CRD).
 The specific additional costs of compliance in the digital area, to the extent these can be distinguished, are also sought.
- The costs of any changes due to regulatory amendments in the Modernisation Directive are then sought (as these led to changes in the three Directives within scope).
- Enterprises selling cross-border are asked about any one-off costs incurred when entering another EU country's market for the first time to sell / market products/services online, due to national regulatory differences e.g. a cancellation button in Germany, rules on social media influencers in France).

Guidance for enforcement authorities/national Ministries: questions are asked regarding the costs of enforcement activities, both hard enforcement (e.g. monitoring, inspections, legal action, court cases), and soft law enforcement (e.g. guidance, awareness-raising).

Page 23

- Show page if
 - 1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option)
 - is Business association

or

- 1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option)
 - is Trader (e.g. e-commerce firm, firm selling both online / offline, online marketplaces/platforms)
- * 36. To what extent has compliance with EU consumer law requirements in the digital environment resulted in any <u>additional</u> types of general compliance costs for your business? Please answer in respect of the following:

	To a great extent	To a moderate extent	To a small extent	Not at all	Don't know
Familiarisation with consumer protection rules for online sales (e.g. developing compliance strategies, allocating compliance responsibilities, reviewing guidance documents on digital sales)					0
<u>Checking compliance with legal requirements to ensure that digital commercial practices</u> (and contract terms) are not unfair or misleading (e.g. checking that website design is not unfair)					
<u>Adjusting business practices</u> (e.g. changing a website design where deceptive practices are identified, using different standard contract terms if considered unfair, etc.)					
<u>Cost of external services</u> (e.g. consultants / lawyers hired to support compliance process).					

Order responses: alphabetically

	0			:.0
т.	SI	า๐พ	page	IT

36. To what extent has compliance with EU consumer law requirements in the digital environment resulted in any additional types of general compliance costs for your business? Please answer in respect of the following:...

is To a great extent

or

36. To what extent has compliance with EU consumer law requirements in the digital environment resulted in any additional types of general compliance costs for your business? Please answer in respect of the following:...

is To a moderate extent

37. If costs have increased to a great extent or to a moderate extent, please comment on how significant these additional costs were:

	Significan costs (>20%)	t Moderate costs (10-20%)	Low costs (5- 9.9%)	Very low costs (<5%)	No additional costs	Don't know
Familiarisation with consumer protection rules for online sales (e.g. developing compliance strategies, allocating compliance responsibilities, reviewing guidance documents on digital sales)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Checking compliance with legal requirements to ensure that digital commercial practices (and contract terms) are not unfair or misleading (e.g. checking that website design is not unfair)						
Adjusting business practices (e.g. changing a website design where deceptive practices are identified, using different standard contract terms if considered unfair, etc.)						
<u>Cost of external services</u> (e.g. consultants / lawyers hired to support compliance process).						

Additional options (question 37)

Order responses: alphabetically

Page 25

- Show page if
 - 1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option)
 - is Business association

or

1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option)

is Trader (e.g. e-commerce firm, firm selling both online / offline, online marketplaces/platforms)

* 38. To what extent has compliance with EU consumer law requirements in the digital area resulted in the following additional types of costs relating to information obligations for your business?

	To a great extent	To a moderate extent	To a small extent	Not at all	Don't know
Pre-contractual information requirements about the products you sell					
Disclosure requirements for platforms on aspects such as search rankings					

	and the processing of consumer	reviews.				
	Cost of complying with the right	of withdrawal (products, services)		0		
	itional options (question 38) Order responses: alphabetically					
9.	If possible, please provide any and the costs of external servi	examples of estimated one-off and recurring ces:	complia	nce costs,	such as sta	aff time,
	If unable to quantify these cos	ts, please click next to move to next section.				
			One-of costs: No. of days of staff time	One-off costs:	Recurring costs: No. of days staff time	Recurring costs: Cash costs (EUR)
	Direct labour costs (e.g., staff tir	ne devoted to completing compliance activities)				
	Cost of external services (e.g., compliance, website redesign)	consultants / lawyers hired to support				
	itional options (question 39) Order responses: alphabetically					
	. ,	pporting information about relevant costs bel	ow:			
		pporting information about relevant costs bel	ow:			
41.		v have you checked that you still comply with		lation (as	transposed	into
41.	In recent years, how frequently	v have you checked that you still comply with	EU legis	lation (as t	transposed	into
41.	In recent years, how frequently national legislation)? Please ti	w have you checked that you still comply with ck one option Once every three no once a year	EU legis nonths		transposed	into
41.	In recent years, how frequently national legislation)? Please to Once a month or more often	w have you checked that you still comply with ck one option Once every three m	EU legis nonths		fransposed	into
Add	In recent years, how frequently national legislation)? Please tile Once a month or more often Once every six months Once every two years	w have you checked that you still comply with ck one option Once every three no once a year	EU legis nonths		transposed	into
Add	In recent years, how frequently national legislation)? Please to Once a month or more often Once every six months Once every two years Never itional options (question 41) Order responses: alphabetically	w have you checked that you still comply with ck one option Once every three no once a year	EU legis nonths ery two ye	ears		
Add	In recent years, how frequently national legislation)? Please tile Once a month or more often Once every six months Once every two years Never itional options (question 41) Order responses: alphabetically	have you checked that you still comply with ck one option Once every three nonce a year Less than once every	EU legis nonths ery two ye	ears provisions	s related to	
Add	In recent years, how frequently national legislation)? Please tile Once a month or more often Once every six months Once every two years Never itional options (question 41) Order responses: alphabetically	have you checked that you still comply with ck one option Once every three nonce a year Less than once every that check one option	EU legis nonths ery two ye mer law s of costs	provisions (qualitative	s related to	
Add	In recent years, how frequently national legislation)? Please tile Once a month or more often Once every six months Once every two years Never itional options (question 41) Order responses: alphabetically	A have you checked that you still comply with ck one option Once every three notes of different costs and once every three ev	EU legis nonths ery two ye mer law s of costs	provisions (qualitative	s related to	

* 43. Does your firm (or your industry association members) also trade cross-border?

	○ Yes		O No			
	O Don't know					
	tional options (question 43) Order responses: alphabetically					
Danie	- 00					
Page	e 26					
T.	Show page if 43. Does your firm (or your industry association members) also trac is Yes	de cross-	border?			
* 44.	When you entered another EU country's market, did you incur any addit legal requirements of the other Member State regarding precontractual istandard contract terms?					
	○ Yes		○ No			
	Opn't know					
	tional options (question 44) Order responses: alphabetically					
Page	e 27					
ŦĴ	Show page if 43. Does your firm (or your industry association members) also tractises Yes and 44. When you entered another EU country's market, did you incur to the legal requirements of the other Member State regarding precord and standard contract terms? is Yes	any additi	ional costs			
* 45.	To what extent when trading cross-border has compliance with consum following additional types of costs for your business in the digital area of and interpretation?					osition
		To a great extent	To a moderate extent	To a small extent	Not at all	Don't know
	Familiarisation with national specific consumer protection rules for online sales and initial compliance planning (e.g. developing compliance strategies, allocating compliance responsibilities)					
	Checking compliance with additional national legal requirements for online sales regarding commercial practices and contract terms (e.g. check website is not unfair by design; ensure that a contract cancellation button					

exists, if specifically required by national law)

considered unfair, etc.)

contractual and other information requirements).

Information obligations for online sales (e.g. additional national pre-

Cost of external services (e.g. consultants / lawyers hired to support

Adjusting business practices (e.g. changing a website design where unfair, deceptive practices are identified, using different standard contract terms if

	compliance process).					
Additional options (question 45) Odder responses: alphabetically 16. Please provide any examples of estimates of the additional costs of complying with consumer law when trading cross-border: If unable to quantify these costs, please click next to move to next section. No. of days Cash days Cash staff costs with time (EUR) time (EUR) Direct labour costs (e.g., consultants / lawyers hired to support compliance, website redesign). Please do not consider translation costs. Additional options (question 46) Odder responses: alphabetically Page 28 1. Show page if 1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option) is Business association or 1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option) is Trader (e.g. e-commerce firm, firm selling both online / offline, online marketplaces/platforms) 17. Are there any indirect costs of compliance due to EU consumer law? For instance, are there any opportunity costs one option impacts on market functioning (e.g. reduced competition or market access)?						
16 .		with c	onsu	mer law	when tra	ding
	If unable to quantify these costs, please click next to move to next section.					
		day: staf	s f	costs	days staff	
	<u>Direct labour costs</u> (e.g., staff time devoted to completing compliance activities)					
	·					
Pag	e 28					
•	1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option) is Business association or 1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option)	e, online	e mar	ketplace	s/platform	s)
			there	any op	portunity	costs or
						//
48.	To what extent have the regulatory amendments stemming from the Modernisa new or increased costs in the following areas?	tion Di	rectiv	e's ado	ption res	ulted in
	To a great	To mode		To a small	Not at	Don't

Familiarisation with the new rules (e.g. developing compliance strategies, allocating compliance responsibilities)

Complying with the new information obligations (for platforms)

Costs of external services (e.g., consultants / lawyers hired to support compliance, website redesign).

Additional	options	(question	48)
------------	---------	-----------	-----

Order responses: alphabetically

Show page if

48. To what extent have the regulatory amendments stemming from the Modernisation Directive's adoption resulted in new or increased costs in the following areas?...

is To a great extent

٥r

48. To what extent have the regulatory amendments stemming from the Modernisation Directive's adoption resulted in new or increased costs in the following areas?...

is To a moderate extent

* 49. If you responded that compliance costs have either increased to a 'great or moderate' extent due to the Modernisation Directive, please provide an indication of the scale of increase in different types of costs in respect of the following new requirements:

	Significan costs (>20%)	t Moderate costs (10-20%)	Low costs (5- 9.9%)	Very low costs (<5%)	No additional costs	Don't know
Disclosure of ranking criteria and paid placements/advertisements when offering consumers the online facility to search for products offered by different traders.						
Informing consumers about the processing and verification of consumer reviews						
Enabling consumers to communicate with the trader via e-mail address and telephone number						
Indicating 'prior' price in price reduction announcements.						
Informing consumers when the offered price is personalised as a result of automated decision-making.						
Adjusting the presentation (branding/packaging) of goods or aligning their composition/characteristics in different Member States, in view of the new provisions concerning "dual quality".						
Strengthening of the rules applicable to "free" digital services provided against commercial processing of the consumer's personal data (as regards information obligations, the right of withdrawal)						

Additional options (question 49)

• Order responses: alphabetically

Page 30

Show page if

4. Please specify the types of trader:

is Provider of online marketplace (i.e. platform where contracts between sellers and buyers are concluded)....

* 50. If you responded compliance costs are either 'great or moderate,' please provide a further indication of the extent of different types of costs according to the following elements:

Significant Moderate Low Very No Don't costs low know

		costs (>20%)	costs (10-20%)	(5- 10%)	costs (<5%)	additional costs	
	Collecting information from third party sellers about whether they are a trader or consumer and passing this information to consumers.	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Informing consumers about who is responsible for the performance of the contract with a third-party seller.						
	Informing consumers about the non-application of consumer rights when buying from a non-professional third-party seller.						
	tional options (question 50) Order responses: alphabetically						
Pag	e 31						
•	Show page if 1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one opins Business association or 1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one opins Trader (e.g. e-commerce firm, firm selling to the stakeholder)	otion)	e / offline, or	nline ma	rketplace	es/platforms)	
51.	Please identify and explain the nature and magnitude of the diprovisions related to the digital environment: Please provide any examples of					Directive's	
	Can you quantify these costs (c	r commer	nt on their siz	ze/ magı	nitude)?		
	tional options (question 51) Order responses: alphabetically						
* 52.	To what extent do the costs of regulatory compliance with the UCTD, UCPD) in the digital area differ between SMEs and large. Regulatory compliance is significantly more costly for SMEs	e busines Reg	ses? (tick o	one only	/)	ives (i.e. CRI	
	than large traders Costs of regulatory compliance are the same or similar for SMEs and large traders	Reg	large trade ulatory com large trade	pliance i	s less co	ostly for SME	S
	Regulatory compliance is significantly less costly for SMEs than large traders						
	tional options (question 52) Order responses: alphabetically						
Pag	e 32						
•	I. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one opins National enforcement authority or 1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one opins National Ministry or 1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one opins National Ministry)	otion)					

* 53. What have been the additional costs of the enforcement of the provisions in the three core EU consumer law Directives (i.e. CRD, UCPD, UCTD) being applied in the <u>digital environment?</u> Have the <u>enforcement costs</u> for your authority been significant, moderate, low or did they not have any impact at all for each of the following cost types?

	Significan costs (>20%)	t Moderate costs (10-20%)	Low costs (5- 10%)	Very low costs (<5%)	No additional costs	Don't know
Information and monitoring costs (e.g. publicising the requirements for traders, development of guidance documents for traders on compliance)	0	0		0	0	
Establishing and implementing monitoring systems to check traders' compliance						
Complaint handling costs						
Inspection costs (e.g. to review compliance on websites, apps, platforms and marketplaces)						
Legal costs (e.g. taking action against non-compliant traders).						

Additional options (question 53)

· Order responses: alphabetically

54. Please identify and explain the nature and magnitude of the different enforcement costs stemming from EU consumer law provisions.:

Please provide any examples of types of costs (qualitative):
Can you quantify these costs
(or at least comment on their size/ magnitude)?

Additional options (question 54)

Order responses: alphabetically

Page 33

- Show page if
 - 1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option)

is National enforcement authority

or

1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option)

is National Ministry

or

1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option)

is European Consumer Centre (ECC)

* 55. To what extent have the regulatory amendments made to the three consumer law Directives as a result of the Modernisation Directive led to any additional costs for your authority?

Have the <u>enforcement costs</u> for your authority been significant, moderate, low or not impacted at all across each of the following cost types:

Significant Moderate Low (5- Very No Don't

			(>20%)	(10-20%)	10%)	low (<5%)	additional costs	know
	Information and monitoring corequirements for traders, develor traders on compliance	sts (e.g. publicising the elopment of guidance documents	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Establishing and implementing traders' compliance	g monitoring systems to check						
	Complaint handling costs							
	Inspection costs (e.g. to revier platforms and marketplaces)	w compliance on websites, apps,						
	Legal costs (e.g. taking action test cases with potential to test	against non-compliant traders, st case the law).						
	itional options (question 55) Order responses: alphabetically							
56.	from the Modernisation Dire	he nature and magnitude of the octive's provisions: Please provide any examples Can you quantify these costs	of types of	costs (qualit	ative):			illillig
	itional options (question 56) Order responses: alphabetically							
	To a great extent	ortunities to simplify the legislat of the three EU consumer law Di		e. CRD, UC				
	To a small extentDon't know	O Not a	t all					
	itional options (question 57) Order responses: alphabetically							
58.		y opportunities to simplify the legges, which? (if you don't know or Simplification measures for real Burden reduction for enforcem	prefer to s	skip, please	click n	ext)	reduction fo	or
	itional options (question 58) Order responses: alphabetically							
	<u> </u>							
Pag	e 35							
•		eholder are you? (Please tick one o	option)					

or

1. What type of stakeholder are you? (Please tick one option)

is Trader (e.g. e-commerce firm, firm selling both online / offline, online marketplaces/platforms)

Section 2.2.2 - The benefits of EU consumer law

* 59. To what extent does your company (or for industry associations, your member companies) agree that the harmonisation of consumer protection rules at EU level has led to the following benefits in the digital area?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't
mproved regulatory certainty for businesses.	0		0	0	
Creating a level playing field across the EU for businesses through prohibition of unfair commercial practices.					
Creating a level playing field by ensuring that standard contract terms are fair.					
Single market benefits (harmonised legislation making it easier to sell cross-border to consumers in other EU countries).					
Ensuring fairness for consumers in the digital environment.					
mproved consumer trust due to better information for consumers in making purchases of goods, services or digital content online.					
Striking the right balance between consumer protection, whilst not overburdening traders.					
Other benefits – please specify					
onal options (question 59) er responses: alphabetically					

Page 36

Add

60. Please identify the benefits from the harmonisation of EU consumer law in the digital area and, where possible, explain their nature and scale.

Benefits (qualitative):
Benefits (quantitative if possible)

Additional options (question 60)

Order responses: alphabetically

61. Are there any benefits stemming from the <u>Modernisation Directive's</u> entry into application? If so, please identify the benefits and, where possible, explain their nature and scale.

Benefits (qualitative):
Benefits (quantitative if possible):

Additional options (question 61)

Order responses: alphabetically

Overarching efficiency assessment

02.	UCPD) achieve an adequate balance between regulatory cost stakeholders? (tick one only)						
	Benefits greatly outweigh the costs of regulatory compliance	Benefits	outwei	gh the costs	of regul	atory con	npliance
	Benefits and costs of regulatory compliance are well-balanced	Regulato	ory com	pliance cos	ts outwe	igh the be	enefits
	Regulatory compliance costs greatly outweigh the benefits	O Don't kn	OW				
	itional options (question 62) Order responses: alphabetically						
Pag	e 38						
Sect	tion 2.3 - Relevance and fitness for purpose						
has e techn	vance considers the extent to which the three Directives are fit for povolved over time (e.g. through case law, interpretative guidance). Toologies and changes in digital markets, as well as in tackling problem. Overall, to what extent do the provisions of the three EU constants.	The ongoing reematic practic	elevand es is al	e of the leg so consider	islation ir ed.	n address	ing new
	market trends?					 9	<u>-</u>
	○ To a great extent ○ To a r	noderate exte	nt				
	○ To a small extent ○ Not at	t all					
	O Don't know						
	itional options (question 63) Order responses: alphabetically						
* 64.	To what extent do the three EU consumer law Directives keep in digital markets and new technologies?		То а	To a moderate extent	То а		
	Changes in digital services and markets (e.g. the increased role of marketplaces and platforms, subscription service models).	of					
	Development of technologies to facilitate transactions by consum smart contracts).	iers (e.g.					
	Increased use of connected products (e.g. Internet of Things) to purchases and carry out everyday tasks (e.g. personal assistants						
	Increased use of Artificial Intelligence (AI), including in profiling a personalisation practices.	nd					
	Increased use of automation (e.g. in consumer services – AI charbusinesses using scalping bots).	tbots;					

Growing role of data/Internet of Behaviours (including data held by

users' personal data.

mobile phone and social media platforms).

platforms) in personalisation practices (advertising / marketing and pricing).

Growing use of "free" digital services involving commercial processing of

Changes in how consumers purchase goods and services (e.g. buying via

	New virtual/augmented reality environments (metaverse/immersive technologies).						
	Increased use of blockchain technology.						
	Other, please specify:						
	tional options (question 64) order responses: alphabetically						
	f you have specific comments on whether the Directives adequand decidences the needs of the EU and key stakeholder groups in lightenter below:						
66.	How far has the application of the <u>Modernisation Directive</u> stre the underlying EU consumer law Directives concerned with add					nd relevar	nce of
	○ Significant positive difference	O Some p	ositive o	difference			
	O Neutral / No difference	O A negat	ive diffe	rence			
	Neutral / No difference A very negative difference	O A negat	ive diffe	rence			
•	A very negative difference tional options (question 66) brider responses: alphabetically To what extent do you agree with the following statements regard appropriately addressed in the three EU consumer law Directive* *Note - 'Situational vulnerability' is a situation whereby consumers may be vulnerable only	arding the es (i.e. CR	extent t	to which <u>v</u> D, UCPD)	:		
•	A very negative difference tional options (question 66) brider responses: alphabetically To what extent do you agree with the following statements regardappropriately addressed in the three EU consumer law Directive	arding the es (i.e. CR	extent t	to which y D, UCPD) res, even if the Neither agree nor	: ey do not fall l		ssic Don'
•	A very negative difference tional options (question 66) brider responses: alphabetically To what extent do you agree with the following statements regard appropriately addressed in the three EU consumer law Directive* *Note - 'Situational vulnerability' is a situation whereby consumers may be vulnerable only	arding the es (i.e. CR in particular cl	extent t	to which y D, UCPD) res, even if the Neither agree nor	: ey do not fall l	under any clas	ssic Don'
•	To what extent do you agree with the following statements regardappropriately addressed in the three EU consumer law Directive *Note - 'Situational vulnerability' is a situation whereby consumers may be vulnerable only vulnerability category – all consumers could be vulnerable online. The concept of an 'average consumer' is adequate in the digital	arding the es (i.e. CR in particular co Strongly agree	extent of D, UCT or cumstance of Agree	to which y D, UCPD) res, even if the Neither agree nor disagree	: ey do not fall Disagree	Strongly	Don't

Page 39

The burden of proof

	What are the rules on <u>burden of proof in your national legal syst</u> UCPD, CRD, UCTD)?	<u>em</u> regard	ling EU	consume	er law Dire	ectives (i.e	
· 69.	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following state	ements:					
		Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	e Disagree	Strongly disagree	
	It is proportionate to keep the burden of proof on consumers to provide evidence of an infringement.						
	The burden of proof of compliance with legal requirements should be shifted to the business in certain circumstances (e.g. if there is reasonable suspicion of an infringement)						
	The burden of proof should be reversed and put on traders to demonstrate fairness in cases of major digital asymmetries (e.g. algorithms that consumers cannot understand)						
	itional options (question 69) Order responses: alphabetically						
	have suggestions on how these might be overcome? Could ther	e be any t	mmen	ieu consi	equences		
· 71.	What would be the most likely consequences if there is no furt consumer protection in the digital environment? Indicate the experience of the consumer protection in the digital environment?						ct to
		Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	
	No consequences, as the current state of consumer protection is adequate.	0	0	0		0	0
	Limited consequences, as the legislation is already updated directly and indirectly (e.g. through the Modernisation Directive, other digital legislation) and it is sufficiently technology neutral						
	Risk of adverse consequences, as there would remain legal gaps or legal uncertainty, which cannot be overcome by enforcement or soft measures.						
	itional options (question 71) Order responses: alphabetically						

Coherence considers the internal clarity of the three Directives concerned and also their interaction with other relevant EU legislation concerning digital markets and services, data protection law, product safety law etc.

Internal coherence

* 72.	. To what extent are there internal inconsist consumer law Directives in the digital env	tencies, overlaps or gaps between the provisions of the three EU
	○ To a great extent	○ To a moderate extent
	○ To a small extent	O Not at all
	O Don't know	
	ditional options (question 72) Order responses: alphabetically	
Pag	ge 41	
	Show page if	
	72. To what extent are there internations consumer law Directives in the digits. To a great extent or 72. To what extent are there internations in the digits. To a moderate extent or	nal inconsistencies, overlaps or gaps between the provisions of the three EU nital environment? Interpolate the provisions of the three EU nal inconsistencies, overlaps or gaps between the provisions of the three EU
73.	Please identify and explain any inconsister consumer law Directives:	ncies, overlaps or gaps between the different provisions of the three EU
Pag	ge 42	
Exte	ernal coherence	
* 7 <i>1</i>	To what extent is there coherence between	n the provisions of key FII consumer legislation (i.e. CRD LICTD LICPD)

74. To what extent is there coherence between the provisions of key EU consumer legislation (i.e. CRD, UCTD, UCPD) and the following existing and proposed EU legislation as regards regulating consumer protection in the digital environment?

	Strong coherence	Some coherence	No coherence at all	Don't know
Digital Services Act – Regulation (EU) 2022/2065				
Digital Markets Act – Regulation (EU) 2022/1925				
Digital Content & Services Directive (EU) 2019/770				
Audiovisual Media Services Directive 2010/13/EU				

	·					
	GDPR – General Data Protection Regulation (EU) 2016/679					
	Proposal for a Data Act					
	ePrivacy Directive / future ePrivacy Regulation					
	General Product Safety Regulation (GPSR)					
	Accessibility Act / Web Accessibility Directive					
	Competition law					
	Other, please specify					
Addi	tional options (question 74)					
• (Order responses: alphabetically					
	f you identified <u>incoherences (e.g. inconsistencies or dupl</u> JCTD, UCPD) and other existing or proposed EU laws, pleវ			umer legisi	iation (i.e. GRI	J,
						/.
	f you identified any <u>legal gaps</u> in the legal instruments me protection in the digital area, please describe them below:	ntioned in the	previous qu	estion in t	erms of consu	ımer
	brotection in the digital area, please describe them below.					
	orotection in the digital area, please describe them below.					
	orotection in the digital area, please describe them below.					
	orotection in the digital area, please describe them below.					//
Pag						/.
						/.
Sect	e 43	e has had and	what would b	e the situati	on were there t	to be no
Sect EU ad such	e 43 cion 2.5 - EU Added Value dded value considers how much value an EU-wide legal regime					
Sect EU ad such	e 43 ion 2.5 - EU Added Value dded value considers how much value an EU-wide legal regime legislation in place. To what extent has the EU consumer law framework achie		tcomes thar	ı could hav Moderately better	e been achiev / Significantly	red by Don't
Sect EU ad such	e 43 ion 2.5 - EU Added Value dded value considers how much value an EU-wide legal regime legislation in place. To what extent has the EU consumer law framework achie	Significantly better outcomes through EU	tcomes than Moderately better outcomes through	Moderately better outcomes through Member State	e been achiev Significantly better outcomes through Member	red by Don't

Addressing problematic cross-border commercial practices.

	Addressing problematic cross-border standard contract						
	terms.)		
	Facilitating e-commerce through clear rules on distance contracts.						
	Facilitating cross-border e-commerce.						
	tional options (question 77) Order responses: alphabetically						
ag	e 44						
ec	tion 3: Possible strengthening of the consum	er law f	rame	work			
ede	ssing problematic practices in the digital environment. This section and and if yes, whether this should be through regulatory changes, so the How far do you agree that the EU consumer law framework an existing and/or anticipated future challenges through soft law. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with	oft law mech d its applic mechanisi	nanisms cation s ns, suc	or other a	pproaches strengthe	S.	
		Strongly agree		Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	
	No changes are necessary.	0	0	0	0	0	
	Update guidance documents periodically.						
	Update guidance documents periodically. Clarify any implications of new digital practices, developments / trends in digital markets and services through guidance to reduce legal uncertainty.						
	Clarify any implications of new digital practices, developments / trends in digital markets and services through guidance to reduce						
	Clarify any implications of new digital practices, developments / trends in digital markets and services through guidance to reduce legal uncertainty. Industry initiatives and self-regulation (e.g. codes of conduct, incorporating good business practices into website design, time-	0	0		0	0	0
• 0	Clarify any implications of new digital practices, developments / trends in digital markets and services through guidance to reduce legal uncertainty. Industry initiatives and self-regulation (e.g. codes of conduct, incorporating good business practices into website design, time-outs to prevent over-use / digital addiction). tional options (question 78)	d its applic	cation s	hould be sements: Neither agree nor	strengthe	ened to add	dress
• 0	Clarify any implications of new digital practices, developments / trends in digital markets and services through guidance to reduce legal uncertainty. Industry initiatives and self-regulation (e.g. codes of conduct, incorporating good business practices into website design, time-outs to prevent over-use / digital addiction). Itional options (question 78) Order responses: alphabetically How far do you agree that the EU consumer law framework an existing and/or anticipated future challenges through legal me. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with	d its applic	cation s	hould be sements: Neither agree nor	strengthe	ened to add	dress
• 0	Clarify any implications of new digital practices, developments / trends in digital markets and services through guidance to reduce legal uncertainty. Industry initiatives and self-regulation (e.g. codes of conduct, incorporating good business practices into website design, time-outs to prevent over-use / digital addiction). tional options (question 78) order responses: alphabetically How far do you agree that the EU consumer law framework an existing and/or anticipated future challenges through legal me	d its applications of the follow	cation s	hould be sements: Neither agree nor	strengthe	ened to add	dress

Order responses: alphabetically

	Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with	the followi	ing state	ements:					
		Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree			
	More harmonised enforcement across the EU-27 (including through coordinated actions and penalties).	0							
	More 'soft' enforcement e.g. to raise awareness about existing rules and new rules, working together with traders to address non-compliance.								
	Other, please specify:								
	tional options (question 80) Order responses: alphabetically								
1.	Do you have any specific comments regarding the measures renext question.	eferred to a	above?	If yes, en	ter below	, if no, go	to		
	Soft law mechanisms:								
	Industry self-regulation:								
	Regulatory amendments to address particular misleading or unfair commercial practices or contract terms:								
	Enforcement:								
	Enforcement.								
	tional options (question 81) Order responses: alphabetically								
• (tional options (question 81)	ractices an Strong	id/ or le		Don't	Don't support			
• (tional options (question 81) Order responses: alphabetically What are your views on specific possible changes to the existing	ractices an Strong	id/ or le	gal gaps?	Don't	Don't support	Don'		
• (tional options (question 81) Order responses: alphabetically What are your views on specific possible changes to the existin strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process of the existing strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process of the existing strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process of the existing strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process of the existing strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process of the existing strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process of the existing strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process of the existing strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process of the existing strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process of the existing strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process of the existing strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process of the existing strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process of the existing strengthen consumer protection and the existing strengthen consumer prot	Strong	ld/ or le	gal gaps?	Don't al suppor	Don't support t at all	Don'		
• (tional options (question 81) Order responses: alphabetically What are your views on specific possible changes to the existing strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process and the strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process and the strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process and the strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process and the strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process and the strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process and the strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process and the strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process and the strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process and the strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process and the strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process and the strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process and the strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process and the strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process and the strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process and the strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process and the strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic process and the strengthen consumer protection and t	Strong suppo	ly rt Supp	gal gaps?	Don't al suppor	Don't support t at all	Don' knov		
• (tional options (question 81) Order responses: alphabetically What are your views on specific possible changes to the existing strengthen consumer protection and to address problematic processing practices at the moment they are deployed. Require additional transparency about the dropshipping business model (i.e. the fact that the shop does not hold those products in stock). Prohibit the exploitation of consumer vulnerabilities for commercial purposes (e.g. using psychographic profiling to target commercial)	Strong suppo	ly rt Supp	gal gaps?	Don't al suppor	Don't support at all	Don		

measures to bypass obligations in consumer law.

Introduce specific rules concerning the length of B2C contracts in the

Introduce specific rules to mitigate the negative effects on						
consumers of addiction-inducing commercial practices in digital products and services (e.g. social media, video games).						
Change the indicative nature of the Annex to the UCTD (defining a number of standard contract terms likely to be unfair) and adopt a harmonised approach (a list of standard contract terms that are always unfair or a list of terms that are presumed to be unfair).						
Address in the UCTD the imbalances detrimental to consumers resulting from contract terms based on data-driven personalisation practices by traders.						
Increase the fairness of the online interface for making consumer complaints, claiming remedies, and enforcing other consumer rights.						
Protect consumers against price hikes in subscription contracts following the end of the initial promotional or free subscription period.						
Protect consumers against unwanted automatic renewal of subscriptions.						
Limit registration/account creation requirement when consumers want to make a purchase.						
Require indication of the real price (e.g. EUR) of virtual items in digital products (e.g. social media, video games) when offered against intermediate currency that the user must purchase in the first step.						
Do you have any <u>specific comments</u> on the suggestions in the previ to be effective, and are there any alternatives?	ous que	Stion? Ho	ow tar is	turtner	regulatio	n like
Are there any national consumer laws that have emerged to tackle Yes Don't know	problem	atic digit	al practi	ices?		
○ Yes	problem	atic digit	-	ices?		
Yes Don't know itional options (question 84) Order responses: alphabetically	problem	atic digit	-	ices?		
Yes Don't know itional options (question 84)			O No		es?	

85. Please provide examples of relevant national consumer laws that aim to tackle problematic digital practices:

36.	If you identified any examples of level playing field?	national legislation, how far would EU level regulatory action help to establish a
	Note – to ensure clarity in the anathe previous question.	alysis, please answer in respect of the specific national legislaton you identified in
Pa	ge 46	
	ction 4: Closing remarks	
87	. Would you be willing to be conta	acted again to discuss your responses?
	○ Yes	O No
	ditional options (question 87) Order responses: alphabetically	
	If you respon	d 'No' to this question, you will exit the survey.
Pa	ge 47	
	Show page if 87. Would you be willing is Yes	g to be contacted again to discuss your responses?
38.	Please provide contact details:	First name and surname:
		Email address:
		Elliali addiess.
	ditional options (question 88) Order responses: alphabetically	
Pad	ge 48	
		ou will not be able to edit any previous answers once you have clicked 'Finish'.
⁄ ou	r responses have been registere	d!